

## A Level History A

**Y310/01** The Development of the Nation State: France 1498–1610

# Wednesday 6 June 2018 – Afternoon

### Time allowed: 2 hours 30 minutes



#### You must have:

 the OCR 12-page Answer Booklet (OCR12 sent with general stationery)

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

- · Use black ink.
- · Answer Question 1 in Section A and any two questions in Section B.
- Write your answers in the Answer Booklet. The question number(s) must be clearly shown
- Do not write in the barcodes.

#### **INFORMATION**

- The total mark for this paper is 80.
- The marks for each question are shown in brackets [ ].
- Quality of extended responses will be assessed in questions marked with an asterisk (\*).
- · This document consists of 4 pages.

#### **SECTION A**

Read the two passages and then answer Question 1.

1 Evaluate the interpretations in **both** of the two passages and explain which you think is more convincing as an explanation of the impact of the Edict of Nantes of 1598. [30]

#### Passage A

Historians have often charged that the Edict of Nantes established a 'state within the state' or, in other words, an independent Huguenot organisation which functioned quite separately from the rest of France. This cannot be the case. First, Huguenots were still bound to follow the laws of the land. Secondly, discussion of all political matters was denied to the Huguenots' national and provincial assemblies. Finally, Huguenots still had to obey the King and, for refusal to do so, might be indicted on charges of treason. At most, the Edict of Nantes created a new 'estate': a group of persons holding special privileges. However, the Huguenot 'estate' lacked many characteristics of the other more established estates of the realm such as the clergy and nobility. The Huguenots neither enjoyed corporate representation nor possessed any special constitutional prerogatives. The privileged position of the Huguenots was not buttressed by any long historical tradition. Their status was of recent creation and it depended solely upon a decree of the crown. And because it was no more than an expression of royal favour, it could be revoked at the King's pleasure. Indeed, the almost temporary character of the Edict is apparent in the fact that many of its terms were published in the form of royal brevets, letters which became automatically invalid on the sovereign's death. Far from creating a 'state within the state', the Edict of Nantes only confirmed the Huguenots' dependence on the monarch. In this respect the Huguenots were fortunate Henry never withdrew his concessions, but endeavoured instead to set an example of toleration and of even-handedness. The King took care to show equal favour to Huguenots and Catholics. In short, therefore, if the Edict of Nantes 'settled' the religious affairs of France, it did so only because the King wished this to be so.

Adapted from M. Rady, France: Renaissance, Religion and Recovery 1494–1610, published in 1988.

#### Passage B

To the Pope and to good Catholics everywhere the Edict of Nantes cast doubts on the sincerity of the King's conversion. Nor did the enforcement of the Edict make matters any better. In several Catholic provinces the sudden public observance of Protestant worship appears an incredibly outrageous innovation. The Royal Commissioners encountered wild outbreaks of hostility and every kind of obstruction was put in the way of the Protestant worship, particularly in Burgundy, Normandy and Maine. The fierce hatreds that had lain dormant since the League were now revived. The populace defaced gravestones in Protestant cemeteries and hurled abuse at their funeral processions, even though they took place after sunset. Inevitably clashes occurred which enflamed passions still further. Henry's conduct would have seemed more acceptable to the Catholics had he been satisfied with the enforcement of the Edict. But he went beyond the terms of the Edict and heaped favours on the Protestants. He allowed them, for example, to sell Protestant publications in Paris. The Edict expressly forbade Protestants to establish places of worship within twelve miles of Paris, but they opened one at Grigny, then another at Ablon.

Adapted from R. Mousnier, *The Assassination of Henry IV*, published in 1973.

© OCR 2018 Y310/01 Jun18

#### **SECTION B**

Answer **TWO** of the following three questions.

- 2\* How far do you agree that Henry II was the most powerful French monarch in the period from 1498 to 1610? [25]
- 3\* 'Throughout the period from 1498 to 1610 royal control of the provinces was limited.' How far do you agree? [25]
- 4\* How far did the French Wars of Religion hold back the development of the nation state of France in the period from 1498 to 1610? [25]

**END OF QUESTION PAPER** 

© OCR 2018 Y310/01 Jun18



#### Copyright Information

OCR is committed to seeking permission to reproduce all third-party content that it uses in its assessment materials. OCR has attempted to identify and contact all copyright holders whose work is used in this paper. To avoid the issue of disclosure of answer-related information to candidates, all copyright acknowledgements are reproduced in the OCR Copyright Acknowledgements Booklet. This is produced for each series of examinations and is freely available to download from our public website (www.ocr.org.uk) after the live examination series.

If OCR has unwittingly failed to correctly acknowledge or clear any third-party content in this assessment material, OCR will be happy to correct its mistake at the earliest possible opportunity.

 $For queries \ or \ further \ information \ please \ contact \ the \ Copyright \ Team, \ First \ Floor, 9 \ Hills \ Road, \ Cambridge \ CB2 \ 1GE.$ 

OCR is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group; Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.

© OCR 2018 Y310/01 Jun18